### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U 338-E) For Authority to, Among Other Things, Increase Its Authorized Revenues For Electric Service in 2003, And to Reflect That Increase in Rates.

Application 02-05-004 (Filed May 3, 2002)

Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion into the Rates, Operations, Practices, Service and Facilities of Southern California Edison Company.

Investigation 02-06-002 (Filed June 6, 2002)

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING SHORTENING TIME FOR COMMENTS AND REPLIES TO COMMENTS ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT

On October 2, 2003, Southern California Edison Company and Coalition of California Utility Employees (Moving Parties) filed a joint motion for approval of a settlement on employee safety and distribution reliability performance incentive mechanisms.

At the request of Moving Parties, and good cause appearing, **IT IS RULED** that:

- 1. Comments on the proposed settlement are due October 14, 2003.
- 2. Replies to any such comments are due October 20, 2003.

Dated October 3, 2003, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ MARK S. WETZELL

Mark S. Wetzell

## Administrative Law Judge

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that I have by mail this day served a true copy of the original attached Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Shortening Time for Comments and Replies to Comments on Proposed Settlement on all parties of record in this proceeding or their attorneys of record. In addition, service was also performed by electronic mail.

Dated October 3, 2003, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ FANNIE SID
Fannie Sid

## NOTICE

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to insure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.